

Thanks Sandi. I appreciate the feedback and will CC Mike and Bob Oak.! js

-----Original Message-----

From: Olsen, Sandi [<mailto:solsen@state.mt.us>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2001 10:25 AM
To: Janet Stevens (E-mail)
Cc: Sensibaugh, Jan; Trombetta, Michael
Subject: FW: new timeline

Janet - Completion of the FS in December 2002 (last step on the most recently shared schedule) has been on the calendar since November 2000 - ie the "old timetable" that we referred to at the meeting. There are of course, even older schedules which do have different dates - and the "lost" time is primarily attributable to staffing issues. Cleanup levels will be established in the RA which will be available for comment this summer. Interim actions could be planned, as described below. However, we recommend that interim actions not be started until cleanup values are known. If Mike wants to discuss specific interim actions, even in the absence of these levels, we are certainly available to meet and provide feedback

-----Original Message-----

From: Trombetta, Michael
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2001 9:34 AM
To: Olsen, Sandi
Subject: RE: new timeline

The latest timeline has the same final completion date (completed propose plan -Fall 2002) as our last timeline. We only tightened up some of the deadlines in the middle. I'll put a copy of both timelines on your chair. Mike Stevenson may have compared our latest one to an earlier timelines or something.

As far as interim actions go -- Mike can do interim actions right now, and clean up his property to PRGs. After we determine cleanup values through the RA process, interim actions may be more appropriate. However, it may also make more sense not to conduct interim actions, because a holistic site cleanup would be more efficient.

We won't be in a position to discuss splitting off any portion of the site until after we get cleanup values and we can compare them to levels on that portion of the property.

-----Original Message-----

From: Janet Stevens [<mailto:JStevens@ci.missoula.mt.us>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2001 8:58 AM
To: 'Sensibaugh, Jan'
Cc: Mike Kadas
Subject: RE: new timeline

Thanks Jan! Mike Stevenson expressed concern that the timeline is now a year longer than previous timelines and there is no mention of possible interim actions to accelerate cleanup or splitting soils off from the aquifer RA. I'm not sure if those things would be noted in the timeline but if someone could get back to me about where something like that would be considered that would be great! Thanks. js